Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JEREMY BAUMAN, et al., Plaintiffs,

v.

V THEATER GROUP, LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 15-mc-80102-JSC

ORDER RE: JOINT DISCOVERY LETTER BRIEF

Re: Dkt. No. 10

This matter first came before the Court on Plaintiffs' Application for an Order to Show Cause Why Twilio, Inc., Should Not Be Held in Contempt following third-party Twilio's alleged non-compliance with a subpoena issued in this District which related to proceedings in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. See Bauman c. V. Theater Group, LLC, at al., No. 14-1125 RBF-PAL (D. Nev.); Razilou v. V. Theater Group, LLC, et al., No. 14-1160 RBF-PAL (D. Nev.). Plaintiffs and third-party Twilio appeared for a hearing on an Order to Show Cause on April 16, 2015 and the parties were ordered to meet and confer regarding the subpoena. If the parties were unable to resolve the matter through a good faith meet and confer, they were to file a joint letter brief by April 29, 2015. (Dkt. No. 9.) The parties did not do so.

However, on May 6, 2015, the parties filed the now pending Joint Discovery Letter Brief (Dkt. No. 10). According to the letter brief, the parties agreed through the meet and confer that Twilio would produce four categories of documents. Twilio has done so and Plaintiffs dispute the adequacy of the production with respect to two of the categories; namely, (1) all transmittal records from Defendants' accounts, and (2) copies of Defendants' customer profiles.

The parties are ordered to appear for a hearing on this matter on May 14, 2015 at 2:00 p.m. in Courtroom F, 450 Golden Gate Ave., San Francisco, California. Plaintiffs shall be prepared to

Case 3:15-mc-80102-JSC Document 13 Filed 05/08/15 Page 2 of 2

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	5 6
	7
	8
	9
	10
rthern District of California	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
$\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{O}}$	17 18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27

28

United States District Court

discuss, among other issues, the relevance of the information sought with respect to Plaintiffs
claims against the Defendants in underlying actions, and why the information sought is not
otherwise available from the Defendants in these actions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 8, 2015

ACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY United States Magistrate Judge